Experience with Combination 2D/3D Breast Tomosynthesis vs FFDM in the Screening Environment

Destounis S, Murphy P, Seifert P, Somerville P, Paulis L, Cavanaugh D, Arieno A, Morgan R



Presented at RSNA 2012, LL-BRS-MO3C Breast Imaging Afternoon CME Posters

For the complete abstract, please visit: http://rsna2012.rsna.org/search/event_display.cfm?em_id=12023526

OBJECTIVE

To review experience with patients undergoing screening mammography imaged with combination 2D/3D breast tomosynthesis or full field digital mammography (FFDM).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study cohort comprised of 527 patients that opted for 2D/3D breast tomosynthesis and a randomly selected sample group of 527 patients that were screened with FFDM from the time period of June 2011 to December 2011. Recall rates, personal history, breast densities and family history was compared between the two modalities.

FINDINGS

Average age for both the modalities was 59 years

	Personal history reported in	Personal and family history		Dense breasts	# of Biopsies	Findings
2D/3D	31	29	280	62%	7	4 benign, 3 malignant
FFDM	19	6	196	54%	3	All benign

CONCLUSION

The 2D/3D breast tomosynthesis group showed significantly lower recall rates despite increased risk factors compared to the FFDM group, with additional cancers found.

