

# Digital breast tomosynthesis as an adjunct to digital mammography for detecting and characterizing invasive lobular cancers: a multi-reader study

Mariscotti G, Durando M, Houssami N, Zuiani C, Martincich L, Londero V, Caramia E, Clauser P, Campanino PP, Regini E, Luparia A, Castellano I, Bergamasco L, Sapino A, Fonio P, Bazzocchi M, Gandini G

Clinical Radiology. 2016 May 19. pii: S0009-9260(16)30036-8. doi: 10.1016/j.crad.2016.04.004. [Epub ahead of print]

## Objective

The authors compared the interpretive performance of digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT) as an adjunct to digital mammography (DM) versus DM alone. They reviewed a series of invasive lobular carcinomas (ILCs) and determined if DBT can be utilized to characterize ILC.

## Materials and Methods

This is a multi-reader, retrospective study and 83 mammographic examinations revealed 107 newly diagnosed ILCs which were determined through histology. DM and DBT acquisitions were performed on consenting women. Twelve radiologists, with varying mammography experience, reviewed both DM alone and then DM + DBT. They evaluated the studies identifying lesion location, mammographic features, and malignancy probability, utilizing the BI-RADS system.

The statistical analysis for reading with DM versus DM plus DBT compared sensitivity, false positive rates (FPR), and interpretive performance utilizing receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve and area under the curve (AUC).

## Findings

The study's analysis for DM plus DBT yielded:

- DBT plus DM significantly increased pooled sensitivity (85%) compared to DM alone (70%)
- FPR did not vary significantly with the addition of DBT to DM
- Interpreting with DBT, compared to DM alone,
  - Increased the correct identification of ILCs depicted as architectural distortions (84% versus 65%, respectively) or as masses (89% versus 70%)
  - Interpretive performance was increased for both experienced and less-experienced radiologists
  - Less-experienced radiologists demonstrated larger gains in AUC
  - DM with DBT identified more frequently multifocal and/or multicentric and bilateral disease

## Conclusion

The study demonstrated significant improvement of accuracy in the interpretation ILCs and contributed to characterizing disease extent with the addition of DBT to DM.

[hologic.com](http://hologic.com) | [info@hologic.com](mailto:info@hologic.com) | +1.781.999.7300

MED-00198 Rev 001 (6/16) © 2016 Hologic, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in USA. Specifications are subject to change without prior notice. Hologic, The Science of Sure, and associated logos are trademarks and/or registered trademarks of Hologic and/or Hologic subsidiaries in the United States and/or other countries. All other trademarks and registered trademarks are the property of their respective owners. All other trademarks, registered trademarks, and product names are the property of their respective owners. This information is intended for medical professionals in the U.S. and other markets and is not intended as a product solicitation or promotion where such activities are prohibited. Because Hologic materials are distributed through websites, eBroadcasts and tradeshows, it is not always possible to control where such materials appear. For specific information on what products are available for sale in a particular country, please contact your local Hologic representative or write to [womenshealth@hologic.com](mailto:womenshealth@hologic.com).